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Introduction: Spinal protective devices are a recent addition to the protective equipment worn by competitive and recreational alpine skiers and snowboarders. Their rate of use is not documented at the time of publication. The objective of this study was to examine the current attitudes and recommendations of Canadian alpine ski racing coaches towards spinal protective devices.

Methods: A convenience sample of alpine ski racing coaches across Canada were contacted in each provincial sport governing body in the ski racing community. A ten question online survey was attached to the initial email. Descriptive statistical analysis was utilized.

Results: A total of 29 Canadian alpine ski racing coaches completed the study survey. All participants were familiar with spinal protective devices and 51.7% of respondents reported that they do not actively enforce spinal protective device use with their ski racing athletes. 80% of respondents reported that their Canadian ski racing club did not have guidelines or

Introduction : Les dispositifs de protection de la colonne vertébrale sont un ajout récent à l’équipement de protection porté par les skieurs alpins et planchistes amateurs tout comme professionnels. Leur fréquence d’utilisation n’est pas connue à la date de cette publication. Cette étude vise à examiner les attitudes et les recommandations actuelles des entraîneurs canadiens de ski alpin de compétition concernant le port de dispositifs de protection de la colonne vertébrale.

Méthodologie : Un échantillon de commodité d’entraîneurs de ski alpin de compétition de partout au Canada a été contacté dans chaque organisme provincial directeur du sport de ski de compétition. Le courriel initial a été suivi par un questionnaire en ligne comportant dix questions. On a eu recours à une analyse statistique descriptive.

Résultats : Un total de 29 entraîneurs canadiens de ski alpin de compétition ont rempli le questionnaire de l’étude. Tous les participants étaient familiers avec les dispositifs de protection de la colonne vertébrale et 51,7 % des répondants ont déclaré qu’ils n’imposent pas activement le port de dispositifs de protection de la colonne vertébrale à leurs skieurs de compétition. 80 % des répondants ont indiqué que leur club canadien
Introduction:
Spinal protective devices are available for international use, although research on their rate of use, effectiveness, and limitations are lacking. These devices have been described as equipment that protects an athlete’s back from external forces. Ski coaches help athletes of all ages with equipment and training decisions on a regular basis, and are required to stay familiar with the rules in place for safe activity and fair competition in order to maintain certification. This study aimed to explore the attitudes and actions of Canadian alpine ski racing coaches regarding spinal protective devices. It is our hypothesis that many, if not all, surveyed Canadian alpine ski racing coaches would be familiar with spinal protective devices but that fewer coaches surveyed will respond as enforcing their regular use.

Discussion:
The majority of coaches reporting training athletes aged 10-15, which may help to explain why only half of those surveyed enforce the use of spinal protective devices. This group of athletes may not participate in speed events as frequently as older athletes, where the use of spinal protective devices is more common.

Conclusion:
The majority of coaches reporting training athletes aged 10-15, which may help to explain why only half of those surveyed enforce the use of spinal protective devices. This group of athletes may not participate in speed events as frequently as older athletes, where the use of spinal protective devices is more common.

Further research is required to determine the differences in beliefs and practice.
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Injuries
Among the competitive alpine ski racing population, Florenes reported that 81% of injuries occurring during the 2007-2008 World Cup competitive season were moderate or severe in nature, resulting in time loss of eight to 28 days or greater for those athletes. In these athletes, anterior cruciate ligament injuries were most frequently reported, followed by concussions.

Although the knee is the most commonly reported location of acute injury among World Cup alpine ski racers (representing 35% of injuries), lower leg and low back injuries are the second most common injuries reported (22% of reported injuries each). Only 4.5% of the reported low back injuries were regarded as severe, however, resulting in 28 days or more of missed skiing activity.

Of these reported injuries, 45% occurred during offi-
cial World Cup competitions, with the remaining injuries occurring during various forms of on-snow training; indicating that many accidents and injuries occur outside of competition events.\textsuperscript{2} It has recently been reported that injury rates of elite alpine skiers are in fact higher than previously thought, suggesting an increased need to investigate this population of athletes.\textsuperscript{2} Specifically, Franz reported that most severe spine injuries (fractures, dislocations, subluxations) occurred most often in skiers at the lumbar spine level and encouraged the promotion of back protection along with various other preventative measures.\textsuperscript{3}

It has been found that injury rates increase with rates of speed among recreational and competitive populations.\textsuperscript{4} As ski racers actively seek out increased rates of speed in all disciplines of competition, it is possible that this population is at greater risk of injury. It may be possible that the number of back injuries in competitive alpine ski racing has changed with the changes in snow preparation and increased speeds in all disciplines in recent years.\textsuperscript{5} Recent high profile deaths of Canadian ski athletes in freestyle and ski cross disciplines highlight the need for more research and advocacy for change in safety measures in snow sports. Alpine Canada is attempting to develop policies to improve safety measures for all ski athletes as evidenced by the Alpine Ski Safety Summits held in 2011 and 2012.\textsuperscript{6}

**Protective Equipment**

Helmet use in all disciplines is currently mandatory in all competitive disciplines by the Federation International du Ski (FIS).\textsuperscript{7} Spinal protective devices are allowed for use under alpine ski racing downhill suits in competition for protective purposes but their use is not currently mandatory.

In its Specification for Competition Equipment (2012-2013 Edition), FIS defines back protectors as “an additional item of equipment, which protects the athlete’s back against weather and external forces.”\textsuperscript{7} This section states “The use of back protections is recommended”, but does not mandate the use of spinal protective devices, as it has for helmet use across all ages and competitions in all disciplines.\textsuperscript{1} The rate of spinal protective device usage is currently unknown in competitive alpine skiing, but a survey conducted in Switzerland revealed that 40% of recreational skiers and snowboarders wore a protector designed for snowsports.\textsuperscript{8}

According to Schmitt, hard shell spinal protective devices are likely more effective protecting against penetration injury as shown with the EN1077 standard test for snow sport safety.\textsuperscript{8} Current spinal protective devices available for purchase vary in performance with mechanical testing that is designed to assess motorcycle protectors.\textsuperscript{8} Impacts or accidents that result in spinal column or cord damage, however, are likely more severe than impacts involved in this common mechanical testing (drop test, EN1621).\textsuperscript{8} It has been found that the beliefs of the effectiveness of spinal protectors to prevent spinal vertebral fractures does not match up with the theorized actions of spinal protective devices (hard or soft design) as they only dampen forces to the back and cannot decrease axial loads, such as those experienced in severe flexion.\textsuperscript{8}

**Alpine Ski Racing and Back Protection**

Alpine Canada, the governing body of alpine ski competition in Canada, does not currently have a rule mandating back protection, and rather follows FIS guidelines on allowing spinal protective device use in competition as stated in its rules of competition.\textsuperscript{1,9,10} Individual provincial sport organizations and independent clubs are permitted to introduce their own rules and regulations as decided by their members, permitting that these rules and regulations do not interfere or contradict the rules put forth by Alpine Canada and FIS.\textsuperscript{1,9,10}

**Canadian Coaches and Back Protection**

Alpine ski coaches work closely with athletes and families to determine equipment choices. Further, they are often called upon to enforce mandatory and suggested equipment rules and regulations. Understanding the attitudes and beliefs of alpine ski race coaches towards spinal protective devices will provide an understanding of what educated and trained leaders of this sport think of these devices, and how to better educate and promote their use, if indicated, in the future.

**Methods and procedures:**

**Design**

This study used a cross-sectional survey design. A convenience sample of alpine ski racing coaches was selected. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Board of the Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College.
Sample Specification
A convenience sample of the target population composed of males and females, was used. The head coaches certified by Alpine Canada were contacted. In order to participate, subjects must self-report Canadian citizenship, be certified as an alpine ski racing coach, and actively coach at the time of survey completion. Subjects were excluded if they did not fit the sample specifications or did not provide consent.

Description of Experimental Maneuver
The survey and instructions for its completion were mailed electronically to the head coaching personnel certified by Alpine Canada. The survey (Appendix A) was provided in the English language only. A total of two identical emails were sent to 135 contacts, each one week apart, with a link to the survey. The email provided a brief outline of the research project being conducted in hopes to gain a better understanding of their current attitudes towards spinal protection.

A qualitative analysis on the survey results was completed.

Results
The breakdown for Canadian Alpine provincial contacts is provided in the table below. Contact information was not available for coaches or representatives in the North West Territories and Nunavut.

Table 1.
Contact breakdown of Canadian Alpine provincial coaches and representatives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Coaches</th>
<th>Representatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alberta</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitoba</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Brunswick</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newfoundland/Labrador</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nova Scotia</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saskatchewan</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukon</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince Edward Island</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National (Alpine Canada)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All 29 respondents were certified coaches through Canadian Ski Coaches Federation with experience ranging from 3-46 years (mean 24.5) and completed all ten questions. 79% of respondents were trained Development Level Canadian Ski Coaches Federation coaches or higher, with 41% of respondents being Performance Level coaches. Two respondents were CSCF High Performance Level coaches.

With respect to familiarity with spinal protective devices, 62.1% reported being “very familiar”, and 37.9% reported being “familiar”. There were no respondents that reported being “not at all familiar” with spinal protective devices (Table 2). The majority of coaches (65.5%) strongly agreed or agreed with the statement “[i]t is important for your athletes to wear a spine protector”, while 13.7% disagreed or strongly disagreed (20.7% neutral) (Table 3). With respect to enforcement of their use, the majority (51.7%) of coaches were neutral (Table 4).

The majority of coaches (79.3%) reported there was not a policy regarding spinal protective device use in their club (Table 5), nor was the majority (86.2%) aware of a provincial policy regarding its use (Table 6).

Most coaches reported coaching athletes less than 15 years of age (Table 7). With respect to the frequency of spinal protective device use by athletes in competition, responses were distributed throughout the six potential responses (never, rarely, sometimes, often, most of the time, and all of the time) (Table 8).

Please see appendix B for tables.

Discussion
Safety, risk management, and protection in competitive alpine ski racing may be important issues for alpine ski coaches. Further, risks of injury to the athlete and the responsibility coaches to reduce foreseeable risks for injury are important discussion topics for the alpine ski racing community. Coaches may play a major role in moderating safety equipment purchases. Encouragement or limitation of safety equipment use by coaches, may affect athletes’ decisions.

The majority of coaches reported that they worked with athletes ranging between ten to 15 years of age. It is possible that coaches who do not enforce the use of back protection with their athletes are coaching these younger athletes who do not spend much time in training for speed
specific disciplines, in which protection may not be indicated.

Coaches are largely responsible, or at least relied upon for athlete safety considerations and equipment recommendation. All of the respondents reported being very or somewhat familiar with spinal protective devices, yet most reported that they are not aware of policies regarding their use at the club or provincial level. Given the lack of formal policies, it may be hypothesized information regarding spinal protective devices is distributed via the companies that produce these devices. Further investigation is required to determine how these coaches are receiving education regarding back protectors, whether it is from product brand marketing, equipment providers, or another source. Without policies, protocols or enforceable rules relating to spinal protective devices, it is possible that coaches may believe them to be an unnecessary cost. Interestingly, nearly one third of coaches surveyed report that they disagree or strongly disagree that the use of spinal protective devices are important for their athletes, and more than half do not enforce their use. Future studies should correlate these responses with the ages, region, and the discipline(s) participated in by their athletes. Also, in future studies, it would be interesting to better understand the demographic characteristics of the coaches to determine if trends related to recommendation and enforcement of use may be related to the age, gender, or geographic region of the coach. It would also be useful to determine why these coaches believe spinal protectors are beneficial or not for their athletes, and future studies could inquire specifically to this question.

Limitations

With the lack of research specifically targeting the attitudes of alpine ski coaches towards spinal protection, this research is preliminary and the sample size is small (n=29). A total of 135 individuals were contacted via email. It is uncertain if recipients sent the survey to others, therefore, a response rate cannot be calculated. Furthermore, some Canadian provincial websites provided direct coaching staff contact emails, thus proportionately more coaches were contacted directly in Alberta and British Columbia. This may have produced demographic bias depending on whether or not these provinces have been previously exposed to spinal protective devices for alpine skiing or whether they are even accessible in sporting good stores in that particular province.

It must also be recognized that this survey was only able to gather information regarding the beliefs of coaches, and may not be reflective of athletes’ practices. It is possible that coaches are unaware of the use of spinal protective use in their athletes. Future studies should address athlete behaviours rather than simply relying on coaches’ reports.

Unfortunately, the survey question that asks respondents of their familiarity with spinal protective devices does not identify what aspect of spinal protective devices they are familiar with. Given the FIS description of spinal protective devices is currently vague, the survey did not provide an explicit definition of these devices in order to gather as much information as possible from respondents in this pilot study. It may be possible coaches are familiar with the fact spinal protective devices exist and are available to buy, they are allowed by FIS rules, or that they are commonly recommended and used in speed events for older athletes. Spinal protective devices are prevalent on the higher-level ski circuits worldwide (without being mandatory or verified for proper fit or specific type). It must be considered, however, that this decision may have led to difficulties with interpretation on behalf of the coaches and future studies should address various devices specifically.

With regards to the survey demographics, this preliminary survey did not obtain information regarding the respondent as gender, age, whether the coaches were certified and licensed under the new CSCF coaching levels pathways (necessary to coach currently), the region in which they coach, if the respondents coached more than one specific or multiple age groups of athletes, if the respondents worked exclusively with female or male athletes, if the coach ever worked during speed discipline events or training camps, and if the coach has any personal experience dealing with a traumatic spinal injury while coaching. It was not the aim of this study to collect large amounts of data and demographic details, but rather discover some preliminary information regarding attitudes and actions regarding spinal protective devices by Canadian ski racing coaches. This highlights the potential topics for future studies beyond this preliminary and exploratory research.
Conclusion
This study sought to examine the rates that Canadian alpine ski coaches recommend and enforce the use of spinal protective devices by their current athletes. This rate was surprisingly low, considering the response of coaches believing that spinal protection was important for their athletes. Very few coaches reported knowing of any current policy in place regarding spine protection use by their respective ski club employer or provincial sport organization. Future studies could explore what clubs do have policies regarding back protection, how they were implemented, and how they are enforced regularly using their coaching staff. Further investigation is required to determine why coaches are implementing spinal protection use for their athletes or not. There are many factors contributing to these attitudes towards spinal protection in Canadian alpine skiing and future research will help to better understand these attitudes.
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### Appendix A.
#### SURVEY

1. The purpose of this study is to assess the current attitudes of Canadian Alpine ski racing coaches towards spinal protective devices for athletes. Two students in their third year at Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College (CMCC) will be conducting this research project. Your participation in this research study is voluntary and is not mandatory. At any point in time during the survey, you may withdraw without penalty. This online survey will take approximately 5 minutes. We will not be collecting your name or any other identifying information at any point during the survey. Thus, your responses are completely confidential.

This research project has received research ethics approval from the CMCC research ethics board. Please contact Dr. Brynne Stainsby with any questions or concerns you may have at bstainsby@cmcc.ca.

Clicking on the “agree” button below indicates that:
- you have read the above information
- you voluntarily agree to participate
  - Agree
  - Disagree

2. What age group do you coach?
   - < 10 years of age
   - 10-15 years of age
   - 15-20 years of age
   - 20-25 years of age
   - 25-35 years of age
   - 35+ years of age

3. What are your coaching certifications?

4. How many years have you been coaching?

5. Are you familiar with spinal protection devices for Alpine Skiing?
   - Very
   - Somewhat
   - Not at all

6. It is important for your athletes to wear a spine protector:
   - Strongly Agree
   - Agree
   - Neutral
   - Disagree
   - Strongly Disagree

7. Do you enforce the use of back protectors for your athletes?
   - Yes
   - No
   - Neutral

8. Does your ski club have a back protection policy currently in place?
   - Yes
   - No
   - I do not know

9. When competing in alpine ski competitions, your athletes wear back protectors:
   - All of the time (100%)
   - Most of the time (75-100%)
   - Often (50-75%)
   - Sometimes (25-50%)
   - Rarely (0-25%)
   - Never (0%)

10. Are you aware of a provincial back protection policy for alpine skiers in your province?
    - Yes
    - No
Appendix B

Table 2.  
Familiarity with spinal protective devices for Alpine Skiing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Response Percent (%)</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.  
Coaches’ response to the statement: “It is important for your athletes to wear a spine protector”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Response Percent (%)</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.  
Enforcement of spinal protector use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Response Percent (%)</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.  
Frequency of club level spinal protection policies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Response Percent (%)</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not know</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.  
Awareness of provincial policies regarding spinal protective devices for Alpine Skiers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Response Percent (%)</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.  
Athlete age demographic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Response Percent (%)</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10 years</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-15 years</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-20 years</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-25 years</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-35 years</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 35 years</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.  
Percentage of athletes wearing spinal protectors in competition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Response Percent (%)</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All of the time (100%)</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most of the time (75-100%)</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often (50-75%)</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes (25-50%)</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rarely (0-25%)</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never (0%)</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>