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Extra-articular hip impingement: a narrative review of the literature

Introduction
Intra-articular causes of impingement such as femoral acetabular impingement (FAI) and acetabular labral tears have become well known causes of hip pain and impingement in younger non-arthritic individuals. Arthroscopic and open surgical procedures are often indicated and have shown good outcomes with returning individuals back to pre-injury levels of function and sports activity.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) However, there is growing subgroup of patients with poor outcomes after surgery suggesting unrecognized cause(s) of impingement may exist.\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^6\)

More recently, an emerging body of literature has identified extra-articular causes of hip impingement that are associated with patients who have poor outcomes to intra-articular surgical procedures.\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^6\) Extra-articular hip impingement (EHI) is caused by abnormal contact between the extra-articular regions of the proximal femur and pelvis and may coexist with intra-articular FAI.\(^7\) Regions of abnormal contact may exist between the greater trochanter, lesser trochanter, extracapsular femoral neck and the ilium or ischium.\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^6\) The causes of EHI have been further classified into specific conditions: central iliopsoas impingement, subspine impingement, ischiofemoral impingement, and greater trochanteric-pelvic impingement.\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^8\)

The research on these specific conditions is still emerging. A recent systematic review by de Sa et al\(^8\) appraised the literature on surgical interventions for these conditions. The authors found only 14 qualifying studies that varied in methodology and overall quality. The authors concluded that a small amount of evidence does exist supporting the surgical interventions for these conditions and that further research is necessary. The lack of evidence leaves a gap in our understanding of the pathophysiology of these conditions and how they relate to intra-articular pathology. Moreover, there is a need to determine the best diagnostic criteria for identifying these conditions and determining which interventions influence recovery.

Extra-articular conditions share similar clinical features as the intra-articular pathologies but also contain some unique characteristics. Clinicians must have a working knowledge of the clinical presentation of these conditions in order to enhance accuracy during the examination and differential diagnosis process. This manuscript will review common extra-articular conditions with a focus on clinical presentation.

Prevalence
The epidemiological data on EHI is still emerging and the available research has revealed some preliminary trends in patient demographics. Riccardi et al\(^4\) conducted a retrospective review of 1765 patients (2075 hips) who underwent hip preservation surgery (hip arthroscopy, periacetabular osteotomy, femoral osteotomy, and surgical hip dislocation) between 2010 and 2013. The authors analyzed two cohort groups: (1) EHI group and (2) intra-articular FAI group. The diagnosis of EHI was made preoperatively based on history, clinical examination, and radiographic studies. Seventy-five patients (86 hips) met the criteria for the EHI group and 1690 (1989 hips) patients for the FAI group. Patients in the EHI group were younger than the FAI group (24 ± 7 years versus 30 ± 11 years). The EHI group had an increased proportion of females than the FAI group (85% to 49%). The right hip was the most commonly affected side in both groups (57% in each group). EHI patients were more likely to have undergone prior hip surgery than the FAI group (44% to 10%) which consisted of hip arthroscopy (N = 24) and pelvic osteotomy (N = 6). The EHI group had lower preoperative outcome scores for the modified Harris hip score (mHHS) and Hip Outcome Scores activities of daily living (HOS ADL) (55 ± 15 versus 63 ± 15) after adjustments for age, sex, and type of revision surgery. Sixteen percent of the EHI patients were diagnosed with previous hip pathology which included: Legg-Calve´-Perthes (N = 7), developmental dysplasia of the hip (N = 2), slipped capital femoral epiphysis (N = 1), Ehlers-Danlos (N = 1), and postinfectious deformity (N = 1).\(^4\)

The research by Riccardi et al\(^4\) suggested that EHI patients tend to be younger, female, and have undergone previous FAI surgery. Also, the presence of EHI was about 4% (75 of 1765) which is infrequent compared to the intra-articular pathology. The authors suspect that the diagnosis of EHI pathology may have been missed during the initial diagnosis. This hypothesis has been supported by other clinical trials investigating the outcomes of FAI revision surgery.\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^11\)

Central Iliopsoas Impingement
Central iliopsoas impingement (CII) is an emerging diagnosis of anterior hip pain that has been linked to acetabular labral tears.\(^12\)\(^-\)\(^13\) This type of impingement causes a distinct pattern of anterior labral damage that does not
extend into the anterosuperior portion of the labrum (e.g. 1 to 2 o’clock position). The damage often occurs directly adjacent to the iliopsoas tendon at the 2 to 3 o’clock position of the anterior labrum and is often confirmed via magnetic resonance arthrography (MRA) (Figure 1). 5, 12, 13

It is postulated that the impingement is caused by a repetitive traction injury by the iliopsoas tendon that is scarred and adherent to the capsule-labrum complex of the hip or by a tight or inflamed iliopsoas tendon that causes impingement during hip extension. 5, 8 Iliopsoas impingement has also been reported after total hip resurfacing and total hip arthroplasty when a larger femoral head component is used. 14-17

The strongest available evidence on CII consists of retrospective case series from the United States with no randomized controlled trials. 5 The current research suggests that this condition may be more common in younger females (pooled age range 19 to 35 years) and individuals involved in regular sports activities. 5, 12, 18 Patients often report anterior hip pain with active flexion and may report a snapping sensation. The clinical examination may reveal non-specific focal tenderness over the iliopsoas tendon at the anterior joint line, positive hip impingement test (e.g. Flexion, Adduction, Internal Rotation (FADIR) test) (Figure 2), and pain or apprehension with resisted straight leg raise (Table 1). 12, 18 Patients may report little or no relief after intraarticular injection of a local anesthetic. MRA is often ordered to further diagnose the condition. MRA has shown good diagnostic properties with strong intra-observer agreement. 13 A labral tear at the 3-o’clock position (immediately below the iliopsoas tendon) suggests the diagnosis of iliopsoas impingement; especially if it does not extend above the 2-o’clock position. 13

Non-surgical intervention such as activity modification, rehabilitation, and therapeutic injections may be prescribed first. However; the efficacy of these interventions have not been investigated. 5 If conservative measures fail, then surgery may be an option. Often, patients will have concomitant labral injury with the iliopsoas pathology requiring arthroscopic resection or repair of the acetabu-

Figure 1.

a) Region of subspine impingement, b) Region of central psoas impingement

Figure 2.

Flexion-Adduction-Internal Rotation Test. The patient is lying supine. The affected hip is passively moved into 90 degrees of hip and knee flexion. The hip is then passively adducted with internal rotation and overpressure in both directions. A positive test is reproduction of the patient’s concordant pain.
lar labrum and iliopsoas tenotomy at the level of the la-
brum.12,18 Studies have shown good short-term outcomes
at 1 year post-operative for return to sports activity, re-
stored range of motion (ROM), decreased symptoms, and
scores on the mHHS and HOS ADL and sport HOS (Table
2).5,12,18,19 Further studies are needed to validate these find-
ings and further develop diagnostic criteria for this path-
ology. To date, the clinical trials have primarily reported
post-surgical outcomes and briefly described post-opera-
tive rehabilitation or did not mention if it was prescribed
for these patients.5,18,20 Lindner et al.21 briefly outlined a
post-surgical program. After surgery, the patient is partial
weight bearing (e.g. 9.7kg (20lbs) flat-foot weight bear-
ing) with crutches and a hip brace locked at 0 to 90 de-
grees for the first 2-weeks. Two weeks post-surgical, the
brace and crutches are discontinued and the patient con-
tinues rehabilitation with an emphasis on regaining joint
ROM, strengthening the gluteus medius and core mus-
cles. The available details regarding the role of post-sur-
gical rehabilitation is under reported. Further studies are
needed to objectively assess the effects of post-operative
rehabilitation for these individuals.

Subspine Impingement
Subspine impingement (SSI) is caused by a prominent
anterior inferior iliac spine (AIIS) abnormally contacting
the distal femoral neck during hip flexion (Figure 1).5 SSI
is thought to be caused by excessive muscular activity
of the rectus femoris during repetitive knee flexion with
hip extension resulting in an avulsion injury of the AIIS.
Upon healing, the apophysis may be inferiorly displaced
leading to a malunion which often results in an enlarged
bony protrusion at the AIIS that abnormally abuts the
femoral neck.8,22 Avulsion injuries are common in ado-
lescent athletes. This repetitive traction injury is common
in running sports and sports involving rapid high energy
kicking such as soccer.5,22 Avulsion injuries to the AIIS
are reported to be the second most common with ischial
avulsions being the most common.10 SSI has been related
to CAM-type FAI and may be corrected with surgery.8

The strongest available evidence on SSI consists of
case reports and series from the United States, United
Kingdom, and China with no randomized controlled
trials.5 The current research suggests that this condition
is more common in younger active males (age range 14
to 30 years).5,8 Patients often report anterior hip or groin
pain that is aggravated by active hip flexion and activities
such as running or kicking. The clinical exam may reveal
calpable AIIS pain and limited passive hip flexion with
end range anterior hip pain. The patient may or may not
have a positive hip impingement test (Table 1).22 Poult-
sides et al23 described the subspine impingement test that
includes passively flexing the hip into maximum flexion
(neutral adduction and internal rotation) (Figure 3). Rep-
roduction of the patient’s anterior pain is considered a
positive test.23 Currently, there are no studies that have as-
essed the clinimetric properties of this test. Patients may
report little or no relief with hip flexion after intraarticular
injection of a local anesthetic. Radiographs may reveal a
prominent AIIS deformity that extends distally to the level
of the anterior-superior acetabular rim.22 The radiographs
may also reveal sclerosis at the AIIS (inferior) and dis-
tal femoral neck junction. Computed tomographic (CT)
scans have also been used with a classification system to
categorize the type of SSI.24 Researchers have also asso-
ciated the ROM limits with each SSI classification (Table
3).24 To date, the diagnostic properties of this imaging has
not been reported in the literature.

Non-surgical intervention such as activity modifica-

Figure 3. The Subspine Impingement Test. The patient is lying
supine. The affected hip is passively moved into
maximum hip flexion (neutral adduction and internal
rotation). A positive test is reproduction of the patient’s
concordant anterior hip pain.
tion, rehabilitation, and therapeutic injections may be prescribed first but their efficacy have not been investigated. Some patients may be recalcitrant to conservative treatment and require surgical interventions. An open AIIS decompression is commonly performed through the standard anterolateral and mid-anterior hip arthroscopy portals. At times, a concomitant arthroscopic procedure is conducted to address any intra-articular pathology. Studies have shown good short-term outcomes at up to 2 years post-surgical follow-up for return to sports activity.

Table 1.
Common types of extra-articular hip impingement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extra-Articular Condition</th>
<th>Patient Demographics</th>
<th>Pathological Characteristics</th>
<th>Clinical Presentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central iliopsoas impingement</td>
<td>Pooled age range: 19–35 years Gender: Females more than males</td>
<td>The pathology may be caused by: (1) a repetitive traction injury by the iliopsoas tendon that is scarred and adherent to the capsule-labrum complex of the hip, (2) a tight or inflamed iliopsoas tendon that causes impingement during hip extension</td>
<td>Patients often report anterior hip pain with active hip flexion and may report a snapping sensation. Clinical findings include non-specific focal tenderness over the iliopsoas tendon at the anterior joint line, positive hip impingement test (e.g. FADIR test), and pain or apprehension with resisted straight leg raise. MRA is often used to further diagnose the condition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subspine impingement</td>
<td>Pooled age range: 14–30 years Gender: Males more than females</td>
<td>The pathology is caused by a prominent AIIS abnormally contacting the distal femoral neck during hip flexion. This may be due to an avulsion injury to the AIIS due to excessive muscular activity of the rectus femoris during repetitive knee flexion and hip extension.</td>
<td>Patients typically report anterior hip or groin pain that is aggravated by active hip flexion and activities such as running or kicking. Clinical findings include palpable AIIS pain and limited passive hip flexion with end range anterior hip pain. The patient may or may not have a positive subspine hip impingement test. Plain radiographs and computed tomography are commonly used to further diagnose the condition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischiofemoral impingement</td>
<td>Pooled age range: 14–30 years Gender: Females more than males</td>
<td>The pathology is caused by a narrowed space between the ischial tuberosity and the lesser trochanter resulting in repetitive impingement of the quadratus femoris muscle.</td>
<td>Patients often report non-specific pain in the hip, groin, and buttocks with active adduction and external rotation. Pain is often increased with sports related activity such as gymnastics or dance or activities of daily living such as long-stride walking. Referral pain may occur down the lower extremity due to possible irritation of the adjacent sciatic nerve. Clinical findings include pain with active or passive hip extension, external rotation, and adduction. In some cases, snapping may occur during hip flexion or extension during weight bearing activities. Magnetic resonance imaging and plain radiographs are commonly used to further diagnose the condition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater trochanteric-pelvic impingement</td>
<td>Pooled age range: 5 to 41 years Gender: No predilection</td>
<td>The pathology is caused by a painful and pathological contact between the greater trochanter and ilium when the hip is actively or passively moved into abduction and extension.</td>
<td>Patients typically report both lateral hip and groin pain that is reproduced with active hip abduction and extension. A blocking of the joint may be felt at the end range of these combined motions. Clinical findings include limited and painful active or passive hip abduction and extension, a shortening of the involved leg, and a Trendelenburg gait pattern. Plain radiographs are commonly used to further diagnose the condition.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: FADIR: flexion-adduction-internal rotation; AIIS: anterior inferior iliac spine; MRA: magnetic resonance arthrography
increased ROM, scores on the HHS, visual analog scale, and short form 12.22–25 The post-surgical rehabilitation for SSI is poorly reported among the published surgical investigations. Hestroni et al12 do recommend 2 to 4 weeks of protected weight bearing with crutches and ROM exercises until basic muscle strength is regained. Further strengthening and proprioception exercise should be prescribed as tolerated. Anti-inflammatory medications are also recommend for the first 3 to 4 weeks after surgery to help decrease the risk of heterotrophic ossification.22 To date, post-surgical rehabilitation has not been objectively studied and its role in the post-operative period is poorly detailed in the literature.5

**Ischiofemoral Impingement**

Ischiofemoral impingement (IFI) is characterized by a narrowed space between the ischial tuberosity and the lesser trochanter resulting in repetitive impingement of the quadratus femoris muscle (Figure 4).5,7 The condition has been reported as primarily congenital but may also be acquired from a hip fracture, superior medial migration of the hip joint with osteoarthritis, or total hip arthroplasty when offset is not fully restored.7,8

The strongest available evidence consists of case reports and series outside the United States with no randomized controlled trials.5 The research suggests that ischiofemoral impingement is more prevalent in females.
versus males and older individuals (mean age of 51-53 years, pooled age range 14-77 years).\textsuperscript{5,8} Bilateral IFI is believed to occur in approximately 15 to 30% of cases of individuals diagnosed with IFI. There is an increased risk of IFI in patients who have suffered prior proximal hamstring avulsion fractures or multiple hereditary exostoses.\textsuperscript{7} Patients often report non-specific pain in the hip, groin, and buttocks with active adduction and external rotation. Pain is often increased with sports related activity such as gymnastics or dance or activities of daily living such as long-stride walking.\textsuperscript{7} Referral pain may occur down the lower extremity due to possible irritation of the adjacent sciatic nerve.\textsuperscript{7,8}

The clinical examination may reveal pain with active or passive hip extension, external rotation, and adduction. In some cases, snapping may occur during hip flexion or extension during weight bearing activities (Table 1).\textsuperscript{7} There are no specific special tests for IFI which is often mistaken for intra-articular pathology and is largely dependent on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).\textsuperscript{8} On MRI, decreased space between the ischium and lesser trochanter is often identified as a risk for IFI. Singer et al\textsuperscript{26} conducted a meta-analysis of MRI studies (2005 to 2014) and determined that a cut-off threshold of \( \leq 15 \text{ mm} \) (ischio-femoral space) showed a sensitivity of 77%, specificity of 81%, and overall accuracy of 78.3% for diagnosing IFI (defined as the presence of quadratus femoris edema and/or atrophy, and ipsilateral pain). Edema of the quadratus femoris muscle may be visible in patients with IFI and some patients may present with fatty infiltration of the quadratus femoris muscle which is sometimes combined with muscle atrophy.\textsuperscript{7,8}

Plain radiographs are often negative but may reveal sclerosis or cystic changes within the lesser trochanter or ischium, decreased femoral offset, or bony prominences from ischial avulsion injury or multiple hereditary exostoses.
Associated with Legg–Calvé–Perthes disease but also may predisposing individuals to GTPI. GTPI is commonly associated with the ischemia that occurs with the treatment of congenital hip dislocation, hip infection, traumatic injury, and infantile coxa vara.5,7

The strongest available evidence for GTPI consists of case series from the United States and Scotland with no randomized controlled trials.5 The research suggests that GTPI is more prevalent in younger individuals (pooled age range 5 to 41 years) with no gender predilection.5,7 GTPI alters the morphology of the proximal femur and acetabulum which may predispose individuals to intra-articular pathology.7 Patients commonly report both lateral hip and groin pain that is reproduced with active hip abduction and extension. A blocking of the joint may be felt at the end range of these combined motions. Patients may report pain with exercise and limping due to hip abductor weakness.7 The examination may reveal limited and painful active or passive hip abduction and extension, a shortening of the involved leg, and a Trendelenburg gait pattern (Table 1). Macnicol and Makris31 describe a special test called the “gear-stick” sign which helps to differentiate between GTPI and other causes of hip impingement. The patient is side-lying with the affected side up. The hip is passively abducted in extension without excessive movement for the lumbopelvis (Figure 6). ROM restriction and reproduction of the patient’s symptoms is a positive sign. The hip can also be passively abducted in flexion to further assess ROM. The hip should have more abduction ROM in flexion since the greater trochanter avoids contact with the ilium in this position.31 To date, the clinimetric properties of this test have not been studied. This must be considered prior to integrating this test into clinical practice. Plain radiographs seem to be the “gold” standard for further diagnosing GTPI. The radiographs often reveal abnormal proximal femoral morphology and a prominent greater trochanter.7 To date, the diagnostic properties of this imaging has not been reported in the literature.

Greater Trochanteric-Pelvic Impingement
Greater trochanteric-pelvic impingement (GTPI) is described as painful and pathological contact between the greater trochanter and ilium when the hip is actively or passively moved into abduction and extension (Figure 5).7 During development an elongation of the greater trochanter occurs due to partial or complete arrest of the proximal femoral physis. With a complete arrest of the proximal femoral physis, the femoral neck becomes shortened, thickened, and develops a varus deformity. The trochanteric epiphysis may also be elongated further predisposing individuals to GTPI. GTPI is commonly associated with Legg–Calvé–Perthes disease but also may be related to the ischemia that occurs with the treatment of congenital hip dislocation, hip infection, traumatic injury, and infantile coxa vara.5,7

Non-surgical management is often prescribed first with a focus on avoiding activities that involve combined hip adduction and external rotation. Rehabilitation should be directed towards strengthening the hip external rotators and abdominal core. Stretching of the hip adductors and external rotators should also be done in the presence of decreased muscle length.7 Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory (NSAID’s) medication and therapeutic corticosteroid injections may also be beneficial. Investigations have reported good outcomes with the combination of activity modification, rehabilitation, NSAIDS, and therapeutic injections.7,28,29

If non-surgical interventions fail, then surgical management may be suggested. The objective of surgery is to widen the space by resecting the bone from the lesser trochanter or ischium or releasing the quadratus femoris muscle. The surgery is commonly done through the standard anterolateral arthroscopy portal. Psosas weakness is a potential complication. Resection of the lesser trochanter and release of the quadratus femoris creates a risk for disrupting the lateral circumflex artery and avascular necrosis of the femoral head.7 The studies reporting outcomes from this surgery have mainly been case reports which make intra-study comparisons difficult due to the low level of evidence.5 However; the published case reports have shown good outcomes up to a 3.5 year follow-up for decreased symptoms, increased ROM, and return to function.4 To date, post-surgical rehabilitation for IFI has not been objectively studied and its role in the post-operative period is poorly detailed in the literature.
creased strength, and improved gait. No osteonecrosis events were reported.\textsuperscript{3} Despite the favorable results, the weakness in the evidence must be considered when interpreting these findings. To date, post-surgical rehabilitation for GTPI has not been objectively studied and its role in the post-operative period is also poorly detailed in the case reports.

Conclusion
Understanding the clinical presentation of common EHI conditions is vital to the hip differential diagnosis process. Currently, the evidence on EHI is weak but does present some preliminary insight into the clinical presentation of these conditions. The one related characteristic among all EHI pathologies is that they may co-exist with intra-articular causes of impingement and may be overlooked during the examination process. This must be considered during the examination and differential diagnosis process in order to accurately diagnosis all causes of hip impingement.
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